Approved For Release 2002/08/21 CIA RDP81B00961R000100150089-3 NRO REVIEW COMPLETED

OSA-5337-63 Copy <u>H</u> of 4

19 August 1963

NRO)
25X	1

NRO

25X1

MEMORANDUM	FOR:	Deputy	for	Technology,	OSA
SUBJECT	:				
REFERENCE	:				

- 1. Respecting referenced TWX the following comments are made from strictly a contractual point of view -- it being assumed that Director, Program B, has "agreed" to this new Program and to the technical management concepts, as expressed in this TWX.
- The undersigned's immediate reaction and query is as to whether or not General Greer's suggestions for contract selection and procurement do not amount to unnecessarily lengthy and conventional GI "open contract" procurement methods. evaluation procedures exreally nothing about the it is understood that cept for heresay comments from the competition there involved permitted clearances of people on each contractor's staff; that each contractor was meticulously briefed with "identical" briefings and some 32" worth of paper compiled in evaluating proposals. Therefore, the query as to whether this new Program, as conceived by General Greer for procurement purposes, would not be following in the footsteps of previous "open" programs, such as, E-5, E-6, etc. If is to effectively and expeditiously contract for this program, the undersigned would feel that the Agency way would be to have competition among certain camera contractors, yes, but that perhaps the two best qualified be asked to propose in much less formal fashion and that much less emphasis be placed on "DOD" type documentation. A covert program just should not have to stand for the same regimented and stereotyped documentation as is customarily gone through, just for the record, on "open" programs.
- 3. Subject TWX speaks of forwarding contractor evaluations to the D/NRO for award of contract. Here again this sounds quite

OS X

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

1:

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP81B00961R660106150089-3

Approved For Release 2002/08/21 : CIA-RDP81B00961R000100150089-3

like the Air Force and quite unlike the Agency. It seems preferable that the Agency have responsibility for awarding of contracts instead of the D/NRO.

SIGNED
Chief, Contracts Division, OSA

25X1

25X1

CD/OSA-DD/S&T Dist: Cy 1&2 - D/Tech/OSA

3 - CD/OSA 4 - RB/OSA